## The Role of National Councils for Sustainable Development. $2^{nd}$ part: The EU Focus

Günther Bachmann, Co-Chair of EEAC Working Group on SD

- 1. As national SD policies are increasingly determined at the EU level or in the very least impacted, it seems reasonable to facilitate the nexus of activities and actors that play a role in influencing the outcome and shape of both National and EU Sustainable Development Strategies. The post-Johannesburg stock-taking and updating of National Sustainable Development Strategies has important linkages with the EU SD Strategy
- **2.** At a recent meeting representatives of national councils or commissions for sustainable development in Europe agreed on a number of recommendations to the Commission as to how the EU strategy could be developed further and implemented.
  - a. The meeting recommended the EU SD Strategy be completed and fleshed out because currently it is represented by a series of fragmented documents. A much more accessible format of the strategy should be promulgated more widely so as to provide a focus for efforts throughout Europe.

## Fehler! Keine gültige Verknüpfung.

- b. A strategy needs to improve the involvement of civil society (agenda setting, monitoring, communication etc.). SD impact assessment is a useful tool.
- c. For prior issues of SD quantified targets and time tables are sensible to apply.

## Fehler! Keine gültige Verknüpfung.

- 3. Some of these points appear to have found their way into the conclusions of the 2003 Spring Summit.
  - a. Targets: The Spring EC decided on indicative target for Renewables of 12 % of primary energy needs and of 22 % of electricity needs by 2010; there is a reference value of 5,75% use of bio-fuels by 2010 for transport purposes. The Conclusions are encouraging national targets. It is also inviting the EC to examine setting indicative targets increasing energy effeciency.
  - b. Interation: The Summit strengthened the Cardiff Process (integration of environmental policy into sector policies on EU level).

c. Review: A monitoring process is triggered. Assessing impacts and monitoring of progress will be part of a road mapping procedure.

## Fehler! Keine gültige Verknüpfung.

- 4. For other recommendations such a reference can not be established.
- 5. As to the future of NCSDs involvement into SD processes: NCSDs might play a useful part in assembling views from their constituents to feed into the Union's annual update on progress for the Spring Council each year.
- 6. Therefore, the chairmen of the Working Group have commissioned a consultant to work out a scope of what could be a Common Initiative of NCSDs. Ecologic has tabled a "think piece" for further discussion.
- 7. The think piece may serve as useful basis to answering the question whether it makes sense to apply for a Co-ordinated Action and who could be the applicant.
  - What can be the targets of a Co-ordinated Action? Why is it sensible to apply?
  - o Possible frame of activity: Capacity building, research, common action.
  - O How to design a Co-ordinated Action (Structure and proceedings)? Who to get involved (Councils, NGOs, Governments)? How can the activities be organised and co-ordinated?

It introduces possible issues of an Initiative, inter alia:

- o providing public statements monitoring SD policies on EU level, particularly by providing input to the EU annual review on SD
- o work as a sounding board for EU SD policy, providing input to EU organs
- o agenda setting and facilitating political debates
- o implementing of joint activities
- exchanging information and best practice; networking research activities at the EU, regional, and national levels; building transnational counseling capacity and research activities; promoting and focussing public and political awarenes; make use of the variety of national CSDs and national SD processes.

Fehler! Keine gültige Verknüpfung.